



## **Desktop Management Task Force**

### ***Desktop Management Interface (DMI) 2.0***

### ***Conformance Requirements***

*Approved by the Technical Advisory Committee on August 6, 1997*

*Approved by the Steering Committee on August 6, 1997*

*Version 1.0 – August 6, 1997*

Technical inquiries and editorial comments should be directed in writing to:

Desktop Management Task Force (DMTF)

M/S JF2-53

2111 N.E. 25<sup>th</sup> Avenue

Hillsboro, OR 97124

PHONE: (503) 264-9300

FAX: (503) 264-9027

email: **[dmtf-info@dmtf.org](mailto:dmtf-info@dmtf.org)**

Additional electronic copies of this specification can be obtained free of charge from the Internet at:

**<ftp://ftp.dmtf.org>**

or

from the World Wide Web at:

**<http://www.dmtf.org>**

Additional hardcopies can be obtained for a fee by contacting the DMTF at the address listed above.

#### **IMPORTANT INFORMATION AND DISCLAIMERS**

1. THIS SPECIFICATION (WHICH SHALL INCORPORATE ANY REVISIONS, UPDATES, AND MODIFICATIONS HERETO) IS FURNISHED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY. INTEL CORPORATION, MICROSOFT CORPORATION, DIGITAL EQUIPMENT CORPORATION, HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY, INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION, NOVELL INC., SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC., COMPAQ COMPUTER CORPORATION, DELL COMPUTER CORP., SYMANTEC, THE SANTA CRUZ OPERATION, NEC TECHNOLOGIES, INC., OR ANY OTHER DMTF MEMBER MAKE NO WARRANTIES WITH REGARD THERETO, AND IN PARTICULAR DO NOT WARRANT OR REPRESENT THAT THIS SPECIFICATION OR ANY PRODUCTS MADE IN CONFORMANCE WITH IT WILL WORK IN THE INTENDED MANNER OR BE COMPATIBLE WITH OTHER PRODUCTS IN NETWORK SYSTEMS. NOR DO THEY ASSUME RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY ERRORS THAT THE SPECIFICATION MAY CONTAIN OR HAVE ANY LIABILITIES OR OBLIGATIONS FOR DAMAGES INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, INDIRECT, PUNITIVE, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES WHETHER ARISING FROM OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE USE OF THIS SPECIFICATION IN ANY WAY. CORPORATIONS MAY FOLLOW OR DEVIATE FROM THIS SPECIFICATION AT ANY TIME.
2. NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES ARE MADE THAT ANY PRODUCT BASED IN WHOLE OR IN PART ON THE ABOVE SPECIFICATION WILL BE FREE FROM DEFECTS OR SAFE FOR USE FOR ITS INTENDED PURPOSE. ANY PERSON MAKING, USING OR SELLING SUCH PRODUCT DOES SO AT HIS OWN RISK.
3. THE USER OF THIS SPECIFICATION HEREBY EXPRESSLY ACKNOWLEDGES THAT THE SPECIFICATION IS PROVIDED AS IS, AND THAT THE DMTF, NEITHER INDIVIDUALLY NOR COLLECTIVELY, MAKE ANY REPRESENTATIONS, EXTEND ANY WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, ORAL OR WRITTEN, INCLUDING ANY WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, OR WARRANTY OR REPRESENTATION THAT THE SPECIFICATION OR ANY PRODUCT OR TECHNOLOGY UTILIZING ANY ASPECT OF THE SPECIFICATION WILL BE FREE FROM ANY CLAIMS OF INFRINGEMENT OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, INCLUDING PATENTS, COPYRIGHT AND TRADE SECRETS OF ANY THIRD PARTY, OR ASSUMES ANY OTHER RESPONSIBILITIES WHATSOEVER WITH RESPECT TO THE SPECIFICATION OR SUCH PRODUCTS. IN NO EVENT WILL DMTF MEMBERS BE LIABLE FOR ANY LOSSES, DAMAGES INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, THOSE DAMAGES DESCRIBED IN SECTION 1 ABOVE, COSTS, JUDGMENTS, OR EXPENSES ARISING FROM THE USE OR LICENSING OF THE SPECIFICATION HEREUNDER.

## ***Table of Contents***

|   |    |
|---|----|
| 1. Overview .....   | 1  |
| 1.1 Ownership .....   | 1  |
| 2. Service Provider Conformance Requirements.....   | 2  |
| 3. Management Application Conformance Requirements .....                                      | 3  |
| 4. Managed System Baseline Requirements .....   | 4  |
| 4.1 Specific Conformance Requirements for all Managed Systems .....                           | 4  |
| 4.2 Additional Conformance Requirements for Mobile Systems .....                              | 5  |
| 4.3 Additional Conformance Requirements for Server Systems.....                               | 5  |
| 4.4 Additional Conformance Requirements for DDC Conformant Video/Monitor Configurations ..... | 6  |
| 4.5 Additional Conformance Requirements for Managed Software Applications.....                | 6  |
| 5. Event Generation Support .....   | 8  |
| 6. Standard Group Conformance .....   | 9  |
| 7. Reference Conformance Test Plan .....  | 11 |
| 7.1 Reference Documents.....  | 11 |
| 7.2 Sample Test Suite for early-access developers.....  | 11 |



# 1. Overview

The overall objective of this document is to “Insure intelligent, cross-platform management of manageable network systems and devices via DMTF technologies”. This can be achieved by the existence of a standard management framework that provides a consistent interface for management applications and results in consistent behavior across platforms and device classes. A management framework should allow industry players to add value, differentiate and evolve their products over time. This document is the first release of a conformance baseline for instrumentation of servers, mobile computers, desktops, workstation, software, etc. Future revisions will extend this requirement to broader ranges of managed devices and objects.

This document defines baseline requirements for DMI 2.0 Service Providers (SP), Servers, Clients (Desktops, Portables, Workstations, Net PCs, etc.), software applications and operating systems. These requirements are a minimum to claim DMI 2.0 Conformance. Of course, software and hardware manufacturers are likely to provide additional DMTF standard groups and vendor specific groups above this minimum requirement to add value to their products. A complete list of standard group definitions is located in the *DMTF Standard Groups Repository* (or “Master MIF”). For unique devices not described via DMTF standard groups, refer to the “MIF Design Guidelines” on information on how to create proprietary group definitions.

This document assumes that the reader is familiar with the DMI technology as described in the DMI specification document. When DMTF standard group class names are referenced in this document the convention of omitting the version has been adopted to convey the notion of “any DMTF adopted version”.

Example: “*DMTF/ComponentID*” implies that “*DMTF/ComponentID/001*” or any subsequent revision to that group approved and published by the DMTF can be used. This is to allow for basic forward compatibility when referencing this document over time.

## 1.1 Ownership

The DMTF is the owner of and will maintain the *DMI 2.0 Specification and Errata*, the *DMI 2.0 Conformance Requirements*, and the *DMTF Standard Groups Repository*. The aforementioned documents will be modified as new DMTF standards are developed and approved. Current versions of this document, the DMI 2.0 Specification and other reference documents are available on the DMTF website at <http://www.dmtf.org>

## 2. Service Provider Conformance Requirements

This section is applicable primarily to those wishing to implement Service Providers for a given OS platform. This is to ensure interoperability between managed nodes on a network as well as between management applications and instrumented devices on a local system. Requirements in this section are in addition to (or clarifications of) those in the DMI 2.0 Specification and subsequent errata. Service Provider developers should refer to those documents as well for additional details.

A Service Provider is classified as a DMI 2.0 Conformance Service Provider if, and only if, it conforms to the following requirements:

- 2.1. This interface must support one of the standard RPCs; DCE/RPC, ONC/RPC or TI/RPC as specified by the DMTF as a supported remote interface in the DMI 2.0 Specification, published March 27, 1996 and the DMI 2.0 Errata #1.
- 2.2. The DMI Service Provider (SP) must coordinate the dynamic installation and removal of component instrumentation modules and management applications. It must enforce that at least “DMTF|ComponentID|” (the ComponentID group) is installed in each component MIF.
- 2.3. The DMI SP must coordinate the registration of entities wishing to initiate management activities.
- 2.4. The DMI SP is responsible for all run time accesses to the MIF data. Implementations of the DMI Service Provider may choose to store MIF files in an internal format (a MIF database) for performance and ease of access.
- 2.5. The DMI SP must enforce command serialization to component instrumentation and ensure that commands are allowed to run to completion. Multiple requests to a particular component instrumentation module must be queued.
- 2.6. The DMI SP must support event/indication subscription and filtering.
- 2.7. The DMI SP must forward indications based on subscription and filters to each registered management application, and must time-stamp incoming indications before forwarding them.
- 2.8. The DMI SP must send an indication to all registered management applications that have subscribed for indications when components are installed or removed from the MIF database. The SP must also send all indication types to registered MI applications as specified in the DMI 2.0 Specification.
- 2.9. The DMI SP must appear to management applications as a component with ID 1 (one). As a component, it must support the standard ComponentID group, defined in DMI 2.0 specification Section 3.1.1. Additionally, the DMI SP must support the Subscription Indication and Filter standard groups. In addition, like any component, it may define additional groups beyond the ComponentID group.
- 2.10. The DMI SP must support all of the NLS mechanisms contained in this specification, including Unicode and multiple NLS installations (mapping) of schema for each component.

### 3. Management Application Conformance Requirements

An application is classified as a DMI 2.0 conformant management application if, and only if, it conforms to the following requirements:

- 3.1. All DMI 2.0 Management Applications must strictly adhere to applicable portions of the DMI 2.0 Management Interface as defined in the DMI 2.0 Specification document and accompanying errata.
- 3.2. Access to the DMI components, groups, and attributes must only be done through this interface.
- 3.3. Remote DMI 2.0 applications must support at least one of the defined DMI 2.0 RPC mechanisms (DCE, ONC, TI-RPC).
- 3.4. Applications supporting DMI indications must fully implement the DMI Event mechanism as described in the DMI 2.0 Specification document and accompanying errata.

## 4. Managed System Baseline Requirements

Because not all computers fall exactly into one of the categories identified below, there is a simple rule to follow when a group is required for a given platform:

“If a standard group is specified for a particular hardware device (for example, PC CARD slot/adapter) and the system being instrumented does not support that type of device there is an exception granted to the conformance requirement in that particular case”.

### 4.1 Specific Conformance Requirements for all Managed Systems

The purpose of this section is to define the core feature set necessary for all manageable PC systems. The enabling technologies and attributes specified in this section should be common, and therefore reasonable to implement on every manageable system manufactured today. Additional features required for specialized classes of systems (such as Servers, Desktops, Portables and Workstations) will be defined in later subsections.

Specific required groups in the subsequent sections imply that the *entire* standard group class definition must be present in the MIF. There will be cases where particular attributes within the group do not make sense for a given implementation or hardware device. Vendors should make every attempt to populate attributes whenever possible within required groups. However, If a given implementation does not fully support the contents of a required DMTF standard group, the component should return either “Unsupported” or “Unknown” for the attribute(s) in this category.

It is non-conformant to modify the contents of a DMTF standard group in any way, except for translation of text strings which are not Class names, to other languages as described in the DMI 2.0 Specification. A vendor requesting changes to such a group should propose the changes to the DMTF Technical Advisory Committee. Questions on this behavior can be directed to [support@dmf.org](mailto:support@dmf.org).

4.1.1. A DMI 2.0 Service Provider must be present on all managed systems. Production systems shipped before 12/31/97 may or may not provide this installed at purchase time. If it is not provided with the basic machine pre-installed configuration then an upgrade kit (customer kit, CD-ROM, internet download, etc.) must be easily accessible to enable a DMI 2.0 Service Provider on the system. This is also useful for upgrading legacy systems with DMI 1.x or no manageability to DMI 2.0 manageability. New systems announced and delivered after 12/31/97 must have the DMI 2.0 SP delivered with the system, either installed by the manufacturer or available as an upgrade kit.

4.1.2. The following set of groups, or the groups that supercede them over time, is required on the managed system. Note: These groups can be either revised (last field of the class name version updated) or made obsolete by a newer group. In no way does this list of groups preclude MIF providers from supporting other DMTF standard groups, or to implement their own private groups.

- DMTF|ComponentID|
- DMTF|Processor|
- DMTF|System Memory Settings|
- DMTF|Motherboard|
- DMTF|Keyboard|
- DMTF|Pointing Device|
- DMTF|Parallel Ports|
- DMTF|Serial Ports|
- DMTF|Disks|
- DMTF|General Information|
- DMTF|Memory Device|
- DMTF|Memory Array Mapped Addresses|
- DMTF|Memory Device Mapped Addresses|
- DMTF|Physical Memory Array|
- DMTF|Operating System|
- DMTF|Physical Container Global Table|
- DMTF|System BIOS|



- DMTF|System Cache|
- DMTF|System Slots|
- DMTF|Video BIOS|
- DMTF|Video|
- DMTF|Network Adapter 802 Port|
- DMTF|Network Adapter Driver|

4.1.3. The following groups are optional for those cases where the OS platform itself provides the instrumentation. Most of this information in these groups is maintained directly by the operating system.

- DMTF|System Resource 2|
- DMTF|System Resource Device Info|
- DMTF|System Resource DMA Info|
- DMTF|System Resource I/O Info|
- DMTF|System Resource IRQ Info|
- DMTF|System Resource Memory Info|

## ***4.2 Additional Conformance Requirements for Mobile Systems***

This section describes incremental conformance requirements over section 4.1 for mobile systems. Mobile systems can and do present significant challenges to management, particularly for remote or network management when the machine is mobile. It is recognized that some portables-specific attributes are not available without “PnP”, or Windows/95 plug and play capabilities under other operating systems that do not support PnP (or a suitable replacement) at the present time. A system is classified as a DMI Manageable Mobile System if, and only if, it conforms to the following additional requirements:

4.2.1. The following groups from the “Mobile MIF” are required, provided that the appropriate hardware is available on the mobile computer:

- DMTF|Portable Battery|
- DMTF|Dynamic States|
- DMTF|Video Output Device|
- DMTF|Infrared Port|
- DMTF|System Power Management|
- DMTF|Power Management Table|
- DMTF|Device Bay|

## ***4.3 Additional Conformance Requirements for Server Systems***

This section describes incremental conformance requirements over section 4.1 for server systems. Since servers tend to cover a wide range of hardware feature sets and configurations, the exemption identified in section 4 is especially important to keep in mind. A system is classified as a DMI Manageable Server System if, and only if, it conforms to the following additional requirements:

4.3.1. The following Groups from the Systems Standard Groups Definition, Approved Version 1.0 are required:

- DMTF|Power Supply|
- DMTF|Cooling Device|
- DMTF|Disk Controller|
- DMTF|FRU|
- DMTF|Operational State|
- DMTF|Mass Store Mapping Table|
- DMTF|Mass Store Segment Table|
- DMTF|Mass Store Logical Drives Table|
- DMTF|Mass Store Array Info Table|
- DMTF|Bus Global Table|

- DMTF|Physical Expansion Sites Table|
- DMTF|Power Unit Global Table|
- DMTF|Cooling Unit Global Table|
- DMTF|Structure Dependency Table|
- DMTF|I/O Bus Card Information|

## **4.4 Additional Conformance Requirements for DDC Conformant Video/Monitor Configurations**

This section describes incremental conformance requirements over section 4.1 for systems which have Video and Monitor (or other display device) hardware that support DDC interfaces. A system is classified as a DMI Conformant DDC Video/Monitor Configuration if, and only if, it conforms to the following additional requirements:

- 4.4.1. Where the OS platform supports the appropriate interfaces, the following group from the Monitor Standard Groups document is required:
- DMTF|Monitor Resolutions|

## **4.5 Additional Conformance Requirements for Managed Software Applications**

This section describes specific conformance requirements for software applications that wish to enable software manageability via DMI 2.0. These products must provide the standard group definitions from the *Software MIF* as defined below and any necessary instrumentation via the DMI 2.0 component interface to expose this instrumentation to DMI 2.0 management applications. Note: system vendors are *not* required to ship DMI 2.0 Managed Software Applications as part of their DMI 2.0 conformance requirements.

The following are the requirements for a software product to be DMI 2.0 conformant:

- 4.5.1. The following groups are required:

- DMTF|ComponentID|
- DMTF|Software Component Information|
- DMTF|Software Signature|
- DMTF|Location|
- DMTF|File List|
- DMTF|Installation|

- 4.5.2. The following group is required for a component which describes maintenance.

- DMTF|Maintenance|

- 4.5.3. The following group is required for a component which describes a suite.

- DMTF|Subcomponents|

- 4.5.4. The following group is required for any component apart from one which describes maintenance.

- DMTF|Support|

- 4.5.5. Where a group is included in a component, all the attributes of that group are required.

- 4.5.6. All values must be supplied on a best-effort basis.

- 4.5.7. Use null values or the keyword "unsupported" where other values do not apply.

- 4.5.8. The signature group table must contain at least one valid entry.
- 4.5.9. The location group table must contain at least one valid entry.
- 4.5.10. The MIF file must be distributed with the software product.
- 4.5.11. For suites, it is required that the publisher supply one MIF file for each product in the suite and one MIF file for the suite itself.
- 4.5.12. The MIF must be installed in the MIF database.

## 5. Event Generation Support

Some of the required standard groups specified above are associated with event generation groups. Event generation for these groups is optional. However, if generated, events must be conformant with the event model specification defined in *Desktop Management Interface Specification, Version 2.0*, Section 3.2.

Information returned from these attributes must provide meaningful information to management applications, as measured by:

- Attributes must behave as defined by the attribute definition.
- The managed data must reflect the state of the managed value
- They must be valid values for the managed system

## 6. Standard Group Conformance

In order to allow consistent interpretation of DMTF Standard Groups by management applications, certain rules in the implementations of DMTF Standard Groups must be adhered to. This in no way is intended to limit managed product vendors from developing custom groups that expose unique value added features of their products. In the following discussion, “Standard Group” refers to the DMTF group as adopted by the DMTF Steering Committee. “Candidate Group” refers to the group that is being evaluated for conformance. Implementation of these rules may be through the managed component, the service provider or some combination of both.

DMTF Standard group conformance is measured by:

- 6.1. The Candidate Group’s class string must match exactly a Standard Group’s class string
- 6.2. The Candidate Group must contain all attributes defined in the Standard Group, one for one. No attribute may be added or deleted. Note that some standard groups (such as the EventGeneration Group) are defined in the DMI v2.0 Specification as containing optional attributes. For these groups, this rule is relaxed to the degree necessary to accommodate these optional attributes. Any Candidate implementation of such groups may modify the number of attributes present, within the constraints of the group definition in the DMI v2.0 Specification
- 6.3. The attribute ID and data type of all attributes in a Candidate Group must conform to the Standard Group. For example, if a Standard Group has an attribute with ID=10 that is a type of 64 bit integer, a Candidate Group must have an attribute with ID=10 with a type of 64 bit integer
- 6.4. The access type of an attribute in the Candidate Group must match the access type of the corresponding attribute in the Standard Group. Access promotion is allowable, demotion is not. Promotion is defined as changing the attribute access from Read-Only or Write-Only to Read-Write. Demotion is changing the access from Read-Write to anything else. Attributes in a Candidate Group may be specified as Unsupported; such groups are still considered conformant
- 6.5. If the Candidate Group contains keys, the key list must contain the same attribute IDs, one for one, in the same order as the Standard Group.
- 6.6. If the Candidate Group contains attributes with Enum definition(s), the definition must match the Standard Group definition exactly. No new value / string mappings may be added, none may be removed and, other than language translations, no changes may be made to the existing value / string mappings.
- 6.7. If the Standard Group contains a Pragma statement the following rules apply:
  - 6.7.1. If the Standard Group Pragma contains the `Dependent_Groups` or `Implementation_Guideline` keywords, the Candidate Group implementation must have a Pragma statement with the identical keywords
  - 6.7.2. If the Standard Group pragma statement contains the `Dependent_Groups` keyword, the same groups must be specified in the Candidate Group Pragma statement, and the specified dependent groups must be implemented in the candidate component
  - 6.7.3. If the Standard Group Pragma statement contains the `Implementation_Guideline` keyword, the Candidate Group Pragma statement must also contain that keyword. Further, the keyword values must be the same for the Standard and Candidate Groups for the Group version being implemented.
  - 6.7.4. Group Dependency Promotion is defined as a Candidate Group adding the Pragma Keyword `Dependent_Groups` to the implementation of a Standard Group that does not contain this keyword. This form of promotion allows extra value to be added to specific implementations of Standard Groups. If a Standard Group implementation is promoted to include the `Dependent_Groups` keyword, all `Dependent Group` conformance rules then apply.
  - 6.7.5. Promotion of Group Pragmas to include the `Implementation_Guideline` keyword is not allowed.
  - 6.7.6. The `Reg_Key` pragma keyword may be present or not in the Candidate Group implementation, without regard to whether the Standard Group contains such a keyword. If present, the syntax must be correct. No value checking is performed.

- 6.7.7. The SNMP keyword may be present or not in the Candidate Group implementation, without regard to whether the Standard Group contains such a keyword. If present, the syntax must be correct. No value checking is performed.
- 6.8. If the Candidate Group is an Event Generation Group, the following rules apply:
  - 6.8.1. The main defining body section of the Class String must be 'EventGeneration|'. Any group with this value in its main defining body section is assumed to be an Event Generation Group.
  - 6.8.2. If the <defining-body> section of the <Specific name> part of the Class String is 'DMTF', the <specific-name-of-assoc-group> section must match a known DMTF approved Event Generation Group. Candidate Group implementers may add a <proprietary-extension> section if desired.
  - 6.8.3. All seven of the required attributes must be defined and must conform to the attribute conformance requirements detailed above. These are:
    - 6.8.3.1. Event Type (ID=1)
    - 6.8.3.2. Event Severity (ID=2)
    - 6.8.3.3. Event Is State Based (ID=3)
    - 6.8.3.4. Event State Key (ID=4)
    - 6.8.3.5. Associated Group (ID=5)
    - 6.8.3.6. Event System (ID=6)
    - 6.8.3.7. Event Subsystem (ID=7)
  - 6.8.4. Zero or more of the Optional Attributes may be defined. If any of these are defined, the definition must conform to the Level 1 attribute conformance requirements detailed above. The optional attributes are:
    - 6.8.4.1. Event Solution (ID=8)
    - 6.8.4.2. Instance Data Present (ID=9)
    - 6.8.4.3. Event Message (ID=10)
    - 6.8.4.4. Vendor Specific Data (ID=11)
  - 6.8.5. The Enum mapping for the Event Severity attribute (ID=2) must conform to the attribute enum conformance rule above. Other enumerated attributes (ID= 1, 6, 7 and 8) have their enumeration mapping defined during component definition. In order to be considered conformant, these attributes must each have one or more enum mappings associated with them. The exact number of enum mappings and their numeric values are not checked for these attributes.
  - 6.8.6. The group named in the Associated Group attribute (ID=5) must be present in the Candidate Component implementation.
- 6.9. If the Candidate Group is an Event State Group, the following rule applies:
  - 6.9.1. For each row in the Event State Group table, the event generation group named in the Event Generation Group attribute must exist in the Candidate Component implementation.

## 7. Reference Conformance Test Plan

This section defines a reference minimum test plan to validate DMI 2.0 conformance and inclusion of required groups.

**Goal:** Demonstrate that a core set of DMI 2.0 management information is provided in a consistent manner. The DMI 2.0 management information is said to be conformant as measured by the DMTF DMI 2.0 specification and the required groups as defined in this document. This test does not constitute DMTF DMI 2.0 Conformance, unless performed via the formal DMTF certification test process.

**Methodology:** The DMI 2.0 management information will be tested remotely through standard remoting protocols as defined in the DMTF DMI 2.0 specification. The testing methodology is defined as follows:

1. Verify conformance of the DMI 2.0 management information that is resident on the system under test to the DMTF DMI Master MIF standard group definitions. This is to check for deviation from ratified standard versions of DMTF groups. Vendor specific group definitions (Class names without “DMTF” as a prefix) will be checked for legal MIF syntax only.
2. Verify the presence of the required groups as defined by this document.
3. Verify the presence of the DMI 2.0 Service Provider.
4. Verify that the DMI 2.0 remoting capabilities are present and functional.
5. Verify that event generation (if supported) is generated in the correct format, as specified in the DMI 2.0 Specification and subsequent errata.

### 7.1 Reference Documents

- Desktop Management Interface Specification 2.0
- DMI 2.0, Errata #1

### 7.2 Sample Test Suite for early-access developers

There is currently a DMI Component Test System (DCTS) tool available on the DMTF web at <http://www.dmtf.org/> as an interim solution. A similar tool set specific to the requirements defined in this document will be provided by the DMTF as a formal test tool at a later time. This WWW link also contains a link to the Master MIF document.